



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/607,748 | 06/27/2003 | Moshe Konstantin | 78900 (5527) | 7579 |
| 22242 7 | 22242 7590 10/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY | | | A, PHI DIEU TRAN | |
| 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3637 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ······ | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| . Office Action Summary | | 10/607,748 | KONSTANTIN, MOSHE | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Phi D. A | 3637 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J | lune 2003. | | | | |
| · | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| · | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attach | (a) | · | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date |) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P. 6) ☐ Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

ble

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figure 1, figure 2, figure 3-3a, figure 4, figure 8, figure 9, figure 9, figure 11, figure 12, figure 13, figure 14, figure 15, figure 16, figure 18, figure 20, figure 21, figure 22, figure 23, figure 24, figure 27, figure 28, figure 34, figure 37, figure 40, figure 42, figure 43.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3637

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made not made to the attorney since the restriction is complex due to the multiple species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A